

**Minutes of the Joint Partnership Board (JPB) 7 November 2016  
County Hall, Llandrindod Wells**

**In attendance:**

Cllr Stephen Hayes (SH) Chair

Cllr Graham Brown (GB) for first item, Roger Eagle (RE), Professor Vivienne Harpwood (VH), Owen James (OJ), Cllr Wynne Jones (WJ), Amanda Lewis (AL), Jeremy Patterson (JP), Clive Pinney (CP), Anya Richards (AR), Julie Rowles (JR) Carol Shillabeer (CS), Cllr Barry Thomas (BT), Hayley Thomas (HT)

The Chair extended a welcome to Owen James and Roger Eagle, independent members of Powys Teaching Health Board.

		<b>Action</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Wales Audit Office</b>	
	Justine Morgan from Wales Audit Office joined the meeting by video conference for a discussion with members of the JPB.	
<b>2.</b>	<b>Apologies</b>	
	Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Graham Brown for the remainder of the meeting and Mandy Collins.	
<b>3.</b>	<b>Minutes of the last meeting</b>	
	The minutes of the previous meeting held on 19 September 2016 were agreed as an accurate record.	
<b>4.</b>	<b>Discussion: Scenarios for Integration of health and social care</b>	
	<p>JP and CS sought a steer from the JPB on the form integration should take. Two potential models were put forward:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue iterative process increasing pace where opportunities exist.</li> <li>• Integrate leadership and management under a lead agency to streamline organisational implementation.</li> </ul> <p>JPB were asked if there was an appetite to go beyond the iterative model.</p> <p>JPB felt that other options should be considered and reasons given if they had been ruled out. It was explained that all options would be assessed using the Five Case Model process which would measure the costs, risks and benefits of each option. This</p>	

	<p>exercise would see some options ruled out whilst others would be given further assessment. It was a model used by Welsh Government that would help them scrutinise the process.</p> <p>JR cautioned that for Health Board staff, a lead agency model would be the most radical and unpalatable as they had a strong attachment to working for the NHS.</p> <p>JPB agreed that there was an appetite to go beyond the iterative model. Options would be presented for consideration in February with the 4 main options being</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Continue the iterative model</li> <li>• A lead agency led by the Council</li> <li>• A lead agency led by the Health Board</li> <li>• An arms-length company that both the Council and Health Board contracted with.</li> </ul> <p>JPB agreed that no preferred option should be identified at this stage and that they would make a recommendation in due course on the preferred option for the Cabinet and Health Board. JPB noted the need for a decision before the purdah period started in March before the local government elections.</p> <p>JPB asked for the scoring criteria to be used to be brought to the next meeting on 5<sup>th</sup> December.</p>	
<b>5.</b>	<b>Draft Integration Brochure</b>	
	Defer to 5 December.	
<b>6.</b>	<b>Powys Regional Partnership Board Minutes</b>	
	JPB received the minutes of the meeting held on 10 <sup>th</sup> October 2016.	
<b>7.</b>	<b>Dates of Meetings in 2017</b>	
	To be agreed.	
<b>8.</b>	<b>Items for agenda for 5 December</b>	
	<p>Scoring criteria to be used in the five case model.</p> <p>Substitute members</p> <p>Allocation of Intermediate Care Funds</p> <p>Section 33 Update</p> <p>Older Peoples Assisted Technology strategy</p> <p>Clerical support for JPB</p>	